











TPM \ 5S	Sorting	Setting In Order	Shining	Standardizing	Sustaining
Based Enhancing	The elimination of unwanted things from the working place allows way for more Development	Decreasing times for connections to machinery and resources	Prevention of accelerated degradation Detection of quicker faults	Building a platform of recording, recognition and Enforcement of quality requirements	Additional support in having a contribution to quality development Construction of facilities
Safety and Protection	Enhancement of health in a healthy working atmosphere	Training in the usage of monitoring tools		Improving safety in clean working conditions	
Preventing services	Based of the proposed maintenanc on the infrastructure needs	Attaching more resources to repair as a consequence of increased workload Job efficiency	Modifying to the Strategy	Implementation of quality management by way of branding, numbering by using several requirements;	Creating a promise not to execute events
Control of Process improvement	Reducing exposure to hardware for performance management	Cooperation of price requirements adoption & service	Creating community for independent infrastructure growth	Verification, recognition and application community creation Requirements in management	Sustained development of devices for defense purposes

Table 2 demonstrates the interaction between the ideals of 5S and the TPM foundations in a similar manner. It should be explained because the application of the 5S standards does not specifically impact the teaching element of the TPM, however because the introduction of the 5S standards does not directly influence the TPM teaching element. It may form the foundation for growing the ability of workers to consider potential development programs, accompanied by training courses

The general methods of specification and self-discipline also have an interesting influence on the establishment of the TPM pillars. In several other terms, the application and establishment of these two concepts (TPM and 5S) in organisations involves sufficient mental and behavioral conditions to improve the capacity of persons to take on obligations and, ultimately, to support the company in meeting the specific objectives of TPM.

#### 4. BENCHMARKING

The transition is slowing by developing information technology, but as time continues, the shift is increasing. Organizations will adopt modern conditions and incorporate emerging technology for ever to thrive organizations. The companies in the field of business, and what would better be achieved by benchmarking in their fields. The features of knowledge and communication era may not only include details but also recognize what has happened to the world. Nonetheless, it is necessary in the current climate to hit information as soon as possible and store data, analyze and exchange information. Benchmarking is a structured method for evaluating potential solutions, applying policies and enhancing efficiency through the interpretation and application of the effectiveness of internal and external policies. Benchmarking should also be interpreted as a learning mechanism that encourages reengineering of the market cycle (Pert and Hollonsen, 2001; Rodriguez et al., 2003; Wah et al., 1998; Eker, 1996).

Relevant infrastructure information was collected. Essential infrastructure has been found. Daily, weekly, monthly and annual reviews have been established and carried out. In fact, all repair details is registered. Thanks to the success of 5S in offices via benchmarking, there is a strong organizational environment for the implementation of administrative TPMs and the employees of these offices are already able to carry out autonomous maintenance.

Nonetheless, on the basis of existing data and knowledge, it is sadly not essential to evaluate the objective impact of the introduction of 5S on the management of the case business and on its preparation for the implementation of this system.

## 5. CONCLUSION

Practical application of TPM is not a straightforward challenge for organizations, and several failure to reach their TPM objectives or demolish technology completely. This study outlines many checkpoints for proper performance as well as the strategic objectives for overcoming those checkpoints. TPM performance needs effective and involved managerial engagement, consistent corporate goals and priorities for TPM adoption, systematic deployment of the TPM approach, unwavering emphasis on the removal of infrastructure/system failures and service degradation, commitment and desire to adapt and improve within the enterprise, and dedicated attention towards long-term objectives. With the focus on TPM, repair interruption has been an important part of the processing or output cycle itself. Maintenance activities are now fully planned and conducted with coordinated schedules. Maintenance occurrences are no generally clustered when there are limited output demands or poor material movement in manufacturing lines. Occupational accidents that cause the employee to lose his life or become weak financially or spiritually may be prevented as a result of serious occupational safety practice. This occupational safety should be primarily based on education. Because when we look at the reasons, accidents with human factors are seen mostly. This is an indicator of our people's need for education and motivation. An employee's life comes before all other interests. For this reason, investments in occupational safety are the guarantee of the right to live. The more investments are made, the fewer accidents and the less danger-maintenance are provided.

As a result, production disruptions will decrease and quality will increase. Ergonomic measures are aimed at providing workers with the best working environment where they will use their physical properties, physiological and psychological abilities in the most appropriate way, as well as maintaining the physical integrity of the workers, thereby realizing worker welfare. It should be determined to identify important points in the production and service process, it is important to find real problems and address them. A harmonious and healthy working environment can be created by establishing a healthy and correct communication in the light of real data with improvement and preventive activities.

## REFERENCES

- Ahuja, I. P. S. (2009). Total Productive Maintenance, in Handbook of Maintenance Management and Engineering, M. Ben-Daya, S. O. Duffuaa, A. Raouf, J. Knezevic, and D. Ait-Kadi, Eds. London: Springer London, 417–459.

- Borris, S. (2006). Total productive maintenance-proven strategies and techniques to keep equipment running at peak efficiency, McGraw-Hill Companies, 414 p. ISBN-10: 0071467335.
- Díaz-Reza, J. R., García-Alcaraz, J. L., Avelar-Sosa, L., Mendoza-Fong, J. RSáenz Diez-Muro, ., J. C. and Blanco-Fernández, J.(2018). The Role of Managerial Commitment and TPM Implementation Strategies in Productivity Benefits, Applied Sciences, 8(7), p. 1153.
- Eker, S., (1996). Kıyaslama Tekniği ve Eczacıbaşı Topluluğunda Kıyaslama Uygulamaları, Tüsiad-KalDer 5. Ulusal Kalite Kongresi Tebliğleri Kitabı, İstanbul: KalDer Yayınları, 13-14 Kasım.
- Elliot, B. and Hill, G. (1999). "Total productive maintenance-Is it time to move on?" McGraw Hill, New York, 25-28.
- Eşit, C. (1998). 5S-Endüstriyel Temizlik ve Düzen, Yayınlanmamış Seminer Notları.
- Güray, B., Z. (2003). 5S Yaklaşımı ve Bir İşletmede İncelenmesi, Osmangazi Üniversitesi, Mühendislik Mimarlık Fakültesi, Endüstri Mühendisliği Bölümü, Yayınlanmamış Bitirme Tezi.
- Hiyoruki, H. (1995). 5S for Operators: 5 Pillars Of The Visual Workplacce, The productivity press development team.
- Ireland, F. and Dale, B.G. (2001). A case study of total productive maintenance implementation, Journal of Quality in Maintenance Engineering, 183-191.
- Jostes, R.S. and Helms, M.M. (1994). Total Productive Maintenance and Its Link to Total Quality Management, Work Study 43(7), 18-20.
- Kiran, D. R.(2017). Chapter 13 - Total Productive Maintenance, in Total Quality Management, Ed. Butterworth-Heinemann, 177–192.
- Lay, G. and Glenn, E. (2000). Benchmarking and Benchmarks: Regional Benchmarking and Economy Base Analysis, Economic Development Review, Summer, 57-64.
- Levitt, J. (2010). TPM Reloaded Total Productive Maintenance, Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data, Industrial Press Inc. New York, ISBN 978-0-8311-3426-6, 226 p.
- Muniswamy A. (2008). Development of a Computer Based Total Productive Maintenance Model for Electrical Motors, MS Thesis, Industrial and Managements Systems Engineering, West Virginia University.
- Pascal, V., Toufik, A., Manuel, A., Florent, D. and Frédéric, K. (2019). Improvement indicators for Total Productive Maintenance policy, Control Engineering Practice, 82, 86–96.
- Per, V.F. and Hollensen, S. (2001), The Process of Benchmarking, Benchlearning and Benchaction, The TQM Magazine, 13(1), 25-33.
- Ribeiro, I. M., Godina, R., Pimentel, C., Silvad, F. J. G., Matias, J. C. O. (2019). Implementing TPM supported by 5S to improve the availability of an automotive production line , Procedia Manufacturing, 38, 1574–1581
- Robinson, C. J. and Ginder, A. P. (1995 ). Implementing TPM: the north American experience, Portland, Productivity Press, 224 p., ISBN-10: 1563273861.



Rodriguez, C. S., Martinez-Lorente, A.R. and Clavel, J.G. (2003). Benchmarking in the Purchasing Function and Its Impact on Purchasing and Business Performance, *Benchmarking: An International Journal*, 10(5). 457-471.

Singh, R., Gohil, A.M., Shah, D.B. and Desai, S. (2013). Total Productive Maintenance (TPM) Implementation in a Machine Shop: A Case Study, *Procedia Engineering* 51, 592 – 599.

Url 1- Industry Forum, <https://www.industryforum.co.uk/consultancy/manufacturing-operations/total-productive-maintenance-tpm/office-tpm/> (Access Date: 12.12.2019)

Url 2- The new advanced Office TPM for non-plant operations, [http://www.tsdinstitute.in/wp-content/uploads/2016/10/1469789949\\_Comelet\\_us\\_learn\\_Office\\_TPM3.pdf](http://www.tsdinstitute.in/wp-content/uploads/2016/10/1469789949_Comelet_us_learn_Office_TPM3.pdf), Tsd Technology Trainings & Services Institute, (Access Date: 10.11.2019).

Wah, F.S., Cheng, E.W.L. and Ho, D.C.K.(1998). Benchmarking: A General Reading for Management Practitioners, *Management Decion*, 36/6, 407-418.

Willmott, P. and McCarthy, D. (2001). *TPM - A Route to World-ClassvPerformance*, A division of Reed Educational and Professional Publishing Ltd, 265 p, ISBN 0 7506 4447 8.